

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,936	10/30/2003	Keiichi Takagaki	Keiichi Takagaki 2003_1574A		
*	7590 04/02/2001 I, LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER -			
2033 K STREE	•	SMITHERS, MATTHEW			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Wildimidio	11, 20 20000 1021		2137		
			· .		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/695,936	6	TAKAGAKI ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Matthew B.	Smithers	2137	
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communicat	tion appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATE WHICHEVER IS LONGED TO STATE WHICHEVER IS LONGED TO STATE WHICH WITH THE WHICHEVER TO STATE WHICH WITH THE SEA STATE WHICHEVER TO STATE WHICHEVER THE WHICHEVER TO STATE WHICHEVER THE WHICH	TUTORY PERIOD FOR GER, FROM THE MAIL vailable under the provisions of 3 the mailing date of this communic lifed above, the maximum statuto or extended period for reply will, fice later than three months after int. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. by period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATI nt, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDO	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this concept (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					•
2a) ☐ This action is FI 3) ☐ Since this applic	ommunication(s) filed on NAL 2b) cation is in condition for lance with the practice	☑ This action is no allowance except f	on-final. for formal matters,		merits is
Disposition of Claims				•	
4a) Of the above 5)	/are pending in the app e claim(s) is/are vend 32-35 is/are allowed and 27-30 is/are rejected 26 and 31 is/are objected are subject to restriction	withdrawn from con ed. ed to. n and/or election re			
Applicant may no Replacement dra	iled on 30 October 2000 t request that any objection wing sheet(s) including the aration is objected to by	on to the drawing(s) be e correction is require	e held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119			•	
a) ⊠ All b) □ Sor 1. ☑ Certified c 2. □ Certified c 3. □ Copies of application	t is made of a claim for ne * c) \sum None of: copies of the priority do copies of the priority do the certified copies of the n from the International detailed Office action for	cuments have beer cuments have beer the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applic nts have been rece e 17.2(a)).	eation Noeived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's 8 3) Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01	Patent Drawing Review (PTO atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· 9-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed January 29, 2004 and March 1, 2004 has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Objections

Claim 31 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Specifically, claim 31 recites "A communication system . . . wherein the first communication device is the communication device according to claim 1, and the second communication device is the communication device according to claim 24." As presently written claim 31, which forms a system depends from two independent claims each of which claims a different device. Claim 31 should be written in independent form and charged as an independent claim showing the limitations of device claims 1 and 2 or the claim should be canceled altogether.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2137

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 22 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 22 recites "An algorithm selection program for causing a computer to execute the steps described in claim 21." A program, as presently claimed, lacks the necessary physical articles to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101 and is not a series of steps to a process nor a combination of chemical compounds to form a composition of matter. Claim 29 recites "An algorithm selection program for causing a computer to execute the steps described in claim 28." Claim 29 is also considered non-statutory for the reasons given above with claim 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 6,671,810 granted to Jardin.

Regarding claim 24, Jardin meets the claimed limitations as follows:

Art Unit: 2137

"A communication device comprising: an encryption information determination section for selecting an encryption algorithm from among a plurality of previously provided encryption algorithms, the selected encryption algorithm being different depending on an encryption algorithm or encryption algorithms used for one or more packets received from a communication counterpart; an encryption/decryption processing section for encrypting a packet to be transmitted to the communication counterpart in accordance with the encryption algorithm selected by the encryption information determination section; and a communication processing section for transmitting the packet encrypted by the encryption/decryption processing section." see column 3, line 48 to column 5, line 43.

Regarding claim 27, Jardin meets the claimed limitations as follows:

"The communication device according to claim 24, wherein, if a packet to work as a determination material based on which to select an encryption algorithm has not been received from the communication counterpart, the encryption information determination section causes the communication processing section to transmit a packet which induces a response from the communication counterpart." see column 3, line 48 to column 5, line 43.

Regarding claim 28, Jardin meets the claimed limitations as follows:

"An algorithm selection method comprising: an encryption information determination step of selecting an encryption algorithm from among a plurality of previously provided encryption algorithms, the selected encryption algorithm being different depending on an encryption algorithm or encryption algorithms used for one or more packets received

Art Unit: 2137

from a communication counterpart; a code processing step of encrypting a packet to be transmitted to the communication counterpart in accordance with the encryption algorithm selected by the encryption information determination step; and a communication processing step of transmitting the packet encrypted by the code processing step." see column 3, line 48 to column 5, line 43.

Regarding claim 29, Jardin meets the claimed limitations as follows:

"An algorithm selection program for causing a computer to execute the steps described in claim 28." see column 3, line 48 to column 5, line 43.

Regarding claim 30, Jardin meets the claimed limitations as follows:

"A computer readable recording medium having recorded thereon the algorithm selection program according to claim 29." see column 3, line 48 to column 5, line 43.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-21, and 32-35 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present invention is directed to a method, system and device for encrypting communications. Independent claims 1, 21, and 32 each recite the uniquely distinct features of "an encryption information determination step of selecting an encryption algorithm from among a plurality of previously provided encryption algorithms, the selected encryption algorithm being different depending on a predicted total used resource or an actual total used resource." The closest prior art, Jardin (US 6,671,810) discloses a method for

Art Unit: 2137

randomly selecting a security algorithm for establishing secure communications over a computer network fails to anticipate or render the above underlined limitation obvious.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claims 23, 25, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claim 23, the cited prior art fails to specifically teach a computer readable recording medium having recorded thereon the algorithm selection program according to claim 22.

With respect to claim 25, the cited prior art fails to specifically teach wherein the encryption information determination section includes an encryption algorithm statistics table for storing the encryption algorithm or encryption algorithms used for the one or more packets received from the communication counterpart, and selects an encryption algorithm appearing with a highest frequency among the encryption algorithm or encryption algorithms used for the received one or more packets by referring to the encryption algorithm statistics table.

Art Unit: 2137

With respect to claim 26, the cited prior art fails to specifically teach wherein the encryption information determination section includes an encryption algorithm statistics table for storing the encryption algorithm or encryption algorithms used for the one or more packets received from the communication counterpart, and an encryption process and used-resource table for storing information concerning an encryption strength and a calculation amount of each of the previously provided encryption algorithms, and selects an encryption algorithm having a highest encryption strength among those encryption algorithms whose calculation amounts are equal to or less than a statistical value derived from the calculation amounts of the encryption algorithms used for the received packets, by referring to the encryption algorithm statistics table and the encryption process and used-resource table.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- A. Gilbert (US 20030167314) discloses a secure communications method.
- B. Schier (US 6,976,176) discloses a method for establishing secure communications.
 - C. Lincke et al (US 6,253,326) discloses a system for secure communications.
- D. Utsmi (US 6,195,677) discloses a system for converted data exchanged between two terminals.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,936 Page 8

Art Unit: 2137

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew B. Smithers whose telephone number is (571) 272-3876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel L. Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew B Smithers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2137